UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/828,318	04/21/2004	Jerome Ribo	118273	4217
25944 OLUEE & DED	7590 06/13/2007		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928		HA, DAC V		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/828,318	RIBO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•						
<u>. </u>	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dac V. Ha	2611 correspondence address				
	Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ap	oril 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers		·				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 10, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (US 2005/0069071) (hereafter Kim).

Regarding claim 1, Kim discloses the followings:

"sampling a serial data stream to generate sampled data bits" (Fig. 1, element 110);

"comparing sampled data bits to detect an edge transition" (Fig. 1, elements 112; para. 29 - 31)

"setting a size of increase or decrease of a phase shift based on a zone in which the edge transition is detected, the size being set to a first value if the zone is a lock zone and to a second value if the zone is a far-to-lock zone" (para. 24-27; 39-49; 57-83).

Regarding claim 10, see claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,318 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 20, see claim 1. Also, Kim discloses "means for selecting relevant sampled data bits based on one of a plurality of rates of the serial data stream" in para. 22 – 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-9, 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim.

Regarding claims 2-9, 11-19, these claimed subject matter would have been easily realized by one skilled in the art as implementation specific based on the concept disclosed by Kim.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Payne et al. (US 2005/0180536) discloses Interpolator Based Clock And Data Recovery (CDR) Circuit With Digitally Programmable BW And Tracking Capability.

Maddux (US 2004/0247049) discloses Extending PPM Tolerance Using A Tracking Data Recovery Algorithm In A Data Recovery Circuit.

Art Unit: 2611

Ferraiolo et al. (US 5,757,297) discloses Method And Apparatus For Recovering A Serial Data Stream Using A Local Clock.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dac V. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dac V. Ha Primary Examiner